

Indirect Searches for Dark Matter with Neutrinos



Carsten Rott

Sungkyunkwan University, Korea

rott@skku.edu

Jan 24, 2016

MPP Colloquium

Max-Planck-Institut
für Physik



Outline

Motivation

Neutrino Telescopes and IceCube

Selected Searches

- Self-annihilating Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo
- Astrophysical Neutrinos and Dark Matter Decay
- Dark Matter Captured in the Sun

Conclusions and Outlook

Coma Cluster

Motivation

Coma Cluster

The Dark Matter Mystery



The Dark Matter Mystery

- Since Zwicky observed the Coma cluster evidence has hardened
 - Structure formations
 - Cosmological simulations
 - Gravitational lensing
 - Rotation curves
 - Cosmic microwave background
 - ...



The Dark Matter Mystery

- Since Zwicky observed the Coma cluster evidence has hardened
 - Structure formations
 - Cosmological simulations
 - Gravitational lensing
 - Rotation curves
 - Cosmic microwave background
 - ...

- Dark Matter already gravitationally “observed”, but ...
 - What is it ?
 - What are its properties ?



Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (χ)

- **Observational Evidence for Dark Matter points to**


- Non-baryonic
- Cold massive
- Not strongly interacting
- Stable (long lived)

WIMP




- **WIMPs often arise naturally in extensions to the Standard Model of Particle Physics: Supersymmetry, ...**

Standard particles



SUSY particles




Severe constraints on the WIMP hypothesis from collider searches, but still a good assumption as a generic dark matter candidate particle

Searches for WIMPs


Searches for WIMPs

Production



Searches for WIMPs

Production




Colliders




Searches for WIMPs

Production



Scattering




Colliders




Searches for WIMPs

Production



Scattering



Colliders




Direct



Searches for WIMPs


Production



Colliders




Scattering



Direct




Annihilation



Searches for WIMPs


Production



Colliders




Scattering




Direct



Annihilation




Indirect



Searches for WIMPs


Production



Colliders




Scattering




Direct






Annihilation



Indirect



WIMP - Weakly Interacting Massive Particle



- **Production**

- Colliders

- **Indirect Searches**

- Dark Matter Decay

- Annihilation of Dark Matter in Galactic Halo, ...


- Gamma-rays, electrons, neutrinos, anti-matter, ...

- Annihilation signals from WIMPs captured in the Sun (or Earth)

- Neutrinos

- **Direct Searches**

- WIMP scattering of nucleons
→ Nuclear recoils






Dark Matter Lifetime

Dark Matter Self-annihilation cross section

DM - Nucleon Scattering cross section

WIMP - Weakly Interacting Massive Particle



- **Production**


- Colliders

- **Indirect Searches**

- Dark Matter Decay
- Annihilation of Dark Matter in Galactic Halo, ...
 - Gamma-rays, electrons, neutrinos, anti-matter, ...
 - Annihilation signals from WIMPs captured in the Sun (or Earth)
 - Neutrinos

- **Direct Searches**

- WIMP scattering of nucleons
→ Nuclear recoils






Dark Matter Lifetime

Dark Matter Self-annihilation cross section

DM - Nucleon Scattering cross section




Dark Matter Signals

- Identify overdense regions of dark matter
 ⇒ self-annihilation can occur at significant rates
- Pick prominent Dark Matter target
- Understand / predict backgrounds
- Exploit features in the signal to better distinguish against backgrounds




Dark Matter Signals

- Identify overdense regions of dark matter
 ⇒ self-annihilation can occur at significant rates
- Pick prominent Dark Matter target
- Understand / predict backgrounds
- Exploit features in the signal to better distinguish against backgrounds




Sources of High Energy Neutrinos


Atmospheric Neutrinos





Cosmic rays interact in the upper atmosphere:



IceCube Collaboration Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 151105 /1212.4760v2





Astrophysical





Gamma-ray Bursts


Active Galactic Nuclei




Principle of an optical Neutrino Telescope











Neutrino Telescopes

Large Water Cherenkov Neutrino Detectors



Hyper-K

Super-K



Lake Baikal

GVD

ANTARES

KM3Net

Active

Retired

Prototype

Construction


Planned

IceCube

Gen2/PINGU



Laboratory at the South Pole



Geographic South Pole

Amundsen Scott
South Pole
Station

Road to work
Skiway

1 km


IceCube

The IceCube Neutrino Telescope

- Gigaton Neutrino Detector at the Geographic South Pole
- 5160 Digital optical modules distributed over 86 strings
- Completed in December 2010, start of data taking with full detector May 2011
- Data acquired during the construction phase has been analyzed
- Neutrinos are identified through Cherenkov light emission from secondary particles produced in the neutrino interaction with the ice

Dark Matter Searches

- **Galactic Center is 29° above the horizon**
- **Sun is at $\pm 23^\circ$**




The IceCube Neutrino Telescope


- Gigaton Neutrino Detector at the Geographic South Pole
- 5160 Digital optical modules distributed over 86 strings
- Completed in December 2010, start of data taking with full detector May 2011
- Data acquired during the construction phase has been analyzed
- Neutrinos are identified through Cherenkov light emission from secondary particles produced in the neutrino interaction with the ice

Dark Matter Searches

- Galactic Center is 29° above the horizon
- Sun is at $\pm 23^\circ$



Signals in IceCube




South
Pole


North Pole

$p + A \rightarrow \pi^\pm (K^\pm) + \text{other hadrons} \dots \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\mu$

- Up-going events can be used to obtain “clean” neutrino sample
 - Earth is used as muon filter
- Atmospheric neutrinos create irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes



Signals in IceCube




South
Pole


North Pole

$p + A \rightarrow \pi^\pm (K^\pm) + \text{other hadrons} \dots \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\mu$


- Up-going events can be used to obtain “clean” neutrino sample
 - Earth is used as muon filter
- Atmospheric neutrinos create irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes



Signals in IceCube




$p + A \rightarrow \pi^\pm (K^\pm) + \text{other hadrons} \dots \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\mu$




- Up-going events can be used to obtain “clean” neutrino sample
 - Earth is used as muon filter
- Atmospheric neutrinos create irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes

Signals in IceCube




$p + A \rightarrow \pi^\pm (K^\pm) + \text{other hadrons} \dots \pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\mu$




- Up-going events can be used to obtain “clean” neutrino sample
 - Earth is used as muon filter
- Atmospheric neutrinos create irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes


Signals in IceCube





Signals in IceCube



Signals in IceCube



Signals in IceCube



Atmospheric muons $\sim 10^{11}/\text{year}$
 Atmospheric neutrinos $\sim 10^5/\text{year}$
 Astrophysical neutrinos $\sim 100/\text{year}$

- A irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes

Event Topologies in IceCube


Track topology

(e.g. induced by muon neutrino)

CC: ν_μ

Good pointing,
 $0.2^\circ - 1^\circ$

Lower bound on energy for
through-going events



ν_μ CC-int

CC: $\nu_e \nu_\tau$


NC: $\nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\tau$

Cascade topology


(e.g. induced by electron neutrino)

Good energy resolution, 15%

Some pointing,
 $10^\circ - 15^\circ$



$\nu_e \nu_\tau$ CC-int & ν_i NC-int



IceCube Science

Cosmic Rays















Atmospheric
neutrinos

Particle Physics

Astronomy

Applied science

Cosmology



Very diverse science program, with neutrinos from 10GeV to EeV,
and MeV burst neutrinos


Dark Matter Self-annihilations

$$\langle \sigma_{AV} \rangle$$



Dark Matter in the Milky Way





Dark Matter in the Milky Way




Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter self-annihilation $\sim \rho^2$

q, e, γ, ν, μ

χ


χ

q, e, γ, ν, μ



$\sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter self-annihilation $\sim \rho^2$

q, e, γ, ν, μ


q, e, γ, ν, μ

The diagram illustrates dark matter self-annihilation. Two dark matter particles, represented by circles with an 'X', are shown colliding. From the collision point, two arrows point outwards, labeled with the products of annihilation: q, e, γ, ν, μ .

$\sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

The diagram shows a cross-section of the Milky Way galaxy. A blue and yellow sphere representing Earth is positioned at a distance of approximately 8 kpc from the galactic center. A blue wedge-shaped region extends from Earth towards the center, representing the volume of space through which dark matter particles pass.

Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter self-annihilation $\sim \rho^2$

q, e, γ, ν, μ


q, e, γ, ν, μ

1

1

$\sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

Dark Matter in the Milky Way





1

1



$\sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

2

Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter in the Milky Way



1

1

$\sim 8 \text{ kpc}$

Three targets:

- 1) Search for a neutrino anisotropy (outer halo)
- 2) Galactic Center (down-going events)
- 3) Dwarf Spheriodals, Galaxy Clusters

Analyses follow theoretical discussions in Beacom et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 231301 (2007) and Yüksel et al., Phys. Rev. D 76, 123506 (2007)

Dark Matter Annihilation


Measure Flux

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE}(E, \phi, \theta)$$

=

Particle Physics

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle}{2m_\chi^2} \sum_f \frac{dN}{dE} B_f$$





×

Dark Matter Distribution

$$\int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi, \theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\text{los}} \rho^2(r(l, \phi')) dl(r, \phi')$$

line of sight (los) integral



Dark Matter Annihilation


Measure Flux

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE}(E, \phi, \theta)$$

=

Particle Physics

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle}{2m_\chi^2} \sum_f \frac{dN}{dE} B_f$$






×

Dark Matter Distribution




$$\int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi, \theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\text{los}} \rho^2(r(l, \phi')) dl(r, \phi')$$

line of sight (los) integral



Use IceCube external strings as a veto:

- 3 complete layers around DeepCore ($\sim 375\text{m}$)
- **Full sky sensitivity**: access to southern hemisphere



Separate Low energy and High energy optimizations:
GC is above the horizon

- Fiducial volume in central strings
 - refined muon veto from surrounding layers
- Use scrambled data for background estimation

Neutrinos test lepton anomalies






Figure 4. The current AMS positron flux measurement compared with theoretical models.



Neutrino Telescopes can probe models motivated by the observed lepton anomalies

Neutrinos test lepton anomalies









Figure 4. The current AMS positron flux measurement compared with theoretical models.




Neutrino Telescopes can probe models motivated by the observed lepton anomalies

DeepCore Analysis

3 years of IceCube-DeepCore data



ANTARES 9yrs GC analysis





Galactic Center observable in
up-going muon neutrino
sample for ANTARES

Impressive new limits

Combining 9yrs of ANTARES Data

$$\mathcal{A}^j(M_{\text{WIMP}}) = \int A_{\text{eff}}^j(E_{\nu_\mu}) \left. \frac{d\Phi_{\nu_\mu}}{dE_{\nu_\mu}} \right|_{ch, M_{\text{WIMP}}} dE_{\nu_\mu} \cdot T_{\text{eff}}^j + \int A_{\text{eff}}^j(E_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}) \left. \frac{d\Phi_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}}{dE_{\bar{\nu}_\mu}} \right|_{ch, M_{\text{WIMP}}} dE_{\bar{\nu}_\mu} \cdot T_{\text{eff}}^j,$$






Dark Matter Decay / Astro-physical Neutrinos

Search for highest energy neutrinos

IceCube Coll. Phys.Rev.Lett. 111 (2013) 021103 / arXiv 1304.5356



Dataset / Results

(670days of IC79/IC86 data)

expected 0.08 events


observed 2 events ($\rightarrow 2.7\sigma$)

- Ernie ~ 1.15 PeV ($\sim 1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ J)
- Bert ~ 1.05 PeV ($\sim 1.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ J)
- Energy is the visible energy of the cascade, could originate from NC event, ν_τ CC, or ν_e CC
- Angular resolution on cascade events at this energy $\sim 10^\circ$
- Energy resolution is about 15% on the deposited energy


Ernie & Bert are not GZK, but ...

Veto and Self-veto

IceCube Collaboration Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) no.2, 022001 (arxiv:1410.1749)




Down-going high-energy neutrinos can be nearly background free identified as astro-physical neutrinos



High-energy neutrino search 4yrs

54 events (15 track-like, 39 showers) observed
Expectation from conventional atm.
muons and neutrinos ~ 21.6



ICRC 2015 proceedings
IceCube Collaboration, *Science* 342, 1242856 (2013),
IceCube Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 113, 101101 (2014)


- Mesons including charm quarks in the atmosphere decay immediately to produce neutrinos, known as prompt neutrinos which are not observed yet.
- ERS, or Enberg et al. *Phys. Rev. D* 78, 043005 (2008) is used as a baseline prompt model
- Significance are based on the exact neutrino flux model, not including the uncertainty of the model.
- Atmospheric Bkg : CR Muon (12.6 ± 5.1), Conv. Neutrino ($9.0^{+8.0}_{-2.2}$),
- Over $60 \text{ TeV} < E < 2000 \text{ TeV}$, the spectrum best fit with $E^{-2.58}$
- E^{-2} spectrum predicts too many neutrinos above $\sim 2 \text{ PeV}$. So, a cutoff or steeper spectrum needed.

~ 7 sigma rejection of atmospheric-only hypothesis

Skymap HESSE-4yrs




IceCube Collaboration, *Science* 342, 1242856 (2013)

ICECUBE PRELIMINARY



x track event
+ shower event


0 TS = $2\log(L/L_0)$ 10 9



no significant correlations -- spacial or temporal
p-value for cascade events “clustering” 44%

Origin of the high-energy neutrinos ?


Extra Galactic Gamma Ray Burst




Active Galactic Nuclei / Starburst Galaxies

Starburst	M82	148.97	69.68	0.07	0.15
Radio	NGC 1275	49.95	41.51	0.0	—
Galaxies	Cyg A	299.87	40.73	0.9	0.03
	3C 123.0	69.27	29.67	0.0	—
	M87	187.71	12.39	0.0	—
	Cen A	201.37	-43.02	0.03	0.49

Galactic Galactic Center



Galactic Plane



Heavy Dark Matter

- Intriguing overlap in energy of the two 1 PeV cascade events of IceCube high energy event sample

Could this be dark matter ?

Evidence:

example: B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto, and T. Yanagida arXiv:1303.7320v1 / Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 1, 015004

- 2.4PeV Dark Matter Particle mass
- Flux can be related to the lifetime τ_{DM}

$$\tau_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1.9 N_\nu \times 10^{28} \text{ s}$$

• Models

- Singlet fermion in an extra dimension
- Hidden Sector Gauge Boson
- Gravitino Dark Matter with R-Parity Violation





FIG. 4. The two observed events from (a) August 2011 and (b) January 2012. Each sphere represents a DOM. Colors represent the arrival times of the photons where red indicates early and blue late times. The size of the spheres is a measure for the recorded number of photo-electrons.




Heavy Dark Matter Decay

- Heavy Decaying Dark Matter (example $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$)
- Focus on most detectable feature (neutrino line)
- Backgrounds steeply falling with energy, highest energy events provide best sensitivity
- Continuum and spacial distribution could help identify a signal
- Bounds from Fermi-LAT and PAMELA derived from search for $b\bar{b}$ annihilation channel (dominant decay channel of Higgs).

**Dedicated IceCube analysis
should improve on these bounds
Analyses on-going**

Bound on lifetime $\sim 10^{28}\text{s}$
derived with IceCube data

Rott, Kohri, Park *PHYS. REV. D* **92**, 023529 (2015)




Heavy DM bounds with neutrinos, see also
Murase and Beacom *JCAP* **1210** (2012) 043
Esmaili, Ibarra, and Perez *JCAP* **1211** (2012) 034
El Aisati, Gustafsson, Hambye *JCAP* **1506**.02657

Heavy Dark Matter Decay

- Heavy Decaying Dark Matter (example $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$)
- Focus on most detectable feature (neutrino line)
- Backgrounds steeply falling with energy, highest energy events provide best sensitivity
- Continuum and spacial distribution could help identify a signal
- Bounds from Fermi-LAT and PAMELA derived from search for $b\bar{b}$ annihilation channel (dominant decay channel of Higgs).

**Dedicated IceCube analysis
should improve on these bounds
Analyses on-going**



Bound on lifetime $\sim 10^{28} \text{s}$
derived with IceCube data




Heavy DM bounds with neutrinos, see also
Murase and Beacom JCAP 1210 (2012) 043
Esmaili, Ibarra, and Perez JCAP 1211 (2012) 034
El Aisati, Gustafsson, Hambye [1506.02657](#)

Boosted Dark Matter


- “Boosted Dark Matter Search”
- Following search proposed by [Kopp, Liu, Wan \(2015\)](#)
- using “Echo Technique” [Li, Bustamante, Beacom \(2016\)](#)



Very heavy dark matter particle ϕ decays to lighter stable dark matter $\chi \rightarrow$ boost!



Recoil
(only hadronic
cascades)




$\phi \rightarrow \chi \bar{\chi} a, a \rightarrow b \bar{b} \rightarrow \nu's$

May sound crazy, but is just an example for exotic interactions in IceCube detectable via recoil

Dark Matter Capture in the Sun

Solar WIMPs



Silk, Olive and Srednicki '85
Gaisser, Steigman & Tilav '86

Freese '86
Krauss, Srednicki & Wilczek '86
Gaisser, Steigman & Tilav '86


3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis

IceCubeColl., arXiv:1612.05949v1


- Three years of data in 86-string configuration used (May 2011 - May 2014)
 - Only **up-going** events (Sun below the horizon) results in **532 days of livetime**
- Two independent analysis performed
 - ① **IceCube**: Higher energy focus ($m_\chi > 100\text{GeV}$)
 - ② **DeepCore**: Low-energy focus ($m_\chi = 30\text{GeV} - 100\text{GeV}$)

- Up-going
- IceCube Dominated
- No Containment


- Up-going
- DeepCore Dominated
- Strong Containment



Median angular resolutions




Effective Areas



3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis

IceCubeColl., arXiv:1612.05949v1




Signal pdf:

$$S_i(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_{\text{sun}}(t_i)|, E_i, m_\chi, c_{\text{ann}}) = \mathcal{K}(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_{\text{sun}}(t_i)|, \kappa_i) \times \mathcal{E}_{m_\chi, c_{\text{ann}}}(E_i)$$


Monovariate Fisher Bingham distribution from directional statistics

Spectral part

- Use track events for better pointing
- Search for an excess of events from the direction of the Sun
- Observed events consistent with background only expectations






Observed events



Background pdf: $\mathcal{B}_i(t x_i, E_i) = B(\delta_i) \times P(E_i | \phi_{\text{atm}})$



Likelihood: $\mathcal{L}(n_s) = \prod_N \left(\frac{n_s}{N} S_i + \left(1 - \frac{n_s}{N} \right) \mathcal{B}_i \right)$

Solar WIMPs Summary





Spin-dependent scattering

Spin-independent scattering



A new background to Solar WIMPs



- CR interaction in the Solar atmosphere result produce gamma-rays and neutrinos
- Background to dark matter search from the Sun, that soon will be relevant (and first high-energy neutrino point source ??)

see K. Ng, J. Beacom, A. Peter, C. Rott PRD 2016

Low Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

**C. Rott, J. Siegal-Gaskins, J.F. Beacom Physical
Review D 88, 055005 (2013) (arXiv1208.0827)
C. Rott, S. In, J. Kumar, D. Yaylali JCAP11 (2015) 039**

Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

$qq, gg, cc, ss, bb, tt, W^+W^-, ZZ, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \nu\nu, e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$
few neutrinos

Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

$qq, gg, cc, ss, bb, tt, W^+W^-, ZZ, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \nu\nu, e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$
few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
 \Rightarrow basis of present day searches

Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

$qq, gg, cc, ss, bb, tt, W^+W^-, ZZ, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \nu\nu, e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$
few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
 \Rightarrow basis of present day searches

dominant decay into hadrons


Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

$qq, gg, cc, ss, bb, tt, W^+W^-, ZZ, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \nu\nu, e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$
few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
 \Rightarrow basis of present day searches

dominant decay into hadrons




Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

$qq, gg, cc, ss, bb, tt, W^+W^-, ZZ, \tau^+\tau^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \nu\nu, e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$
 few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
 \Rightarrow basis of present day searches

dominant decay into hadrons



π^0

- Lifetime too short to interact

π^-

- Interaction length short compared to losses
- Produces secondary particles in collision with protons
- Dominant energy loss term is π^0 production

Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

qq,gg,cc,ss,bb,tt,W⁺W⁻, ZZ, τ⁺τ⁻, μ⁺μ⁻, νν, e⁺e⁻, γγ few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
⇒ basis of present day searches


dominant decay into hadrons

Charged pions and kaons decay at rest producing mono-energetic neutrinos

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \quad E_\nu = 29.8 \text{ MeV}$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu \mu^+ \quad E_\nu = 235.5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$$




π^0

- Lifetime too short to interact

π^-

- Interaction length short compared to losses
- Produces secondary particles in collision with protons
- Dominant energy loss term is π^0 production


Neutrino yield




Pion and Kaon yields

π^+ r-value - fraction of center-of-mass energy which goes into π^+

C.Rott, S.In, J.Kumar, D.Yaylali JCAP11(2015)039



K^+ r-value - fraction of center-of-mass energy which goes into K^+




For low dark matter masses difference between flux from stopped pion and kaon decay at rest can be used to disentangle annihilation final states




Sensitivity

DUNE
Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment

<http://www.dunescience.org/>




Hyper-Kamiokande



2nd Hyper-K Detector in Korea ?



Hyper-Kamiokande Proto-Collaboration
arXiv:1611.06118

Tokai-to-Hyper-K & Korea (T2HKK)



Candidate Sites in Korea



Site	OAB	Baseline	Height	Rock
Mt. Bisul	$\sim 1.3^\circ$	1088 km	1084 m	Granite porphyry, Andesitic breccia
Mt. Hwangmae	$\sim 1.8^\circ$	1140 km	1113 m	Flake granite, Porphyritic gneiss
Mt. Sambong	$\sim 1.9^\circ$	1180 km	1186 m	Porphyritic granite, Biotite gneiss
Mt. Bohyun	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1040 km	1126 m	Granite, Volcanic rocks, Volcanic breccia
Mt. Minjuui	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1140 km	1242 m	Granite, Biotite gneiss
Mt. Unjang	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1190 km	1125 m	Rhyolite, Granite porphyry, Quartz porphyry



- Baselines length 1,000 ~ 1,200 km
- Off axis angle $1.3^\circ \sim 3^\circ$
- Considering tunnel entrance positions **overburdens** are expected to be greater than 820 m (**2,200 m.w.e.**)

arXiv:1611.06118

Tokai-to-Hyper-K & Korea (T2HKK)



- Improved CP Precision, Mass hierarchy, ...
- Better control of systematics
- Potential site benefits (larger over burden)
- Non-standard neutrino interactions





FIG. 19: The fraction of δ_{cp} values (averaging over the true mass ordering) for which the wrong hierarchy can be rejected with a given significance or greater.


Future Plans for IceCube ...

Beyond Standard Model Physics at the PeV scale



Intense interest in high-energy neutrino region

- Observations defy any simple explanation from a single generic source class
- Multiple sources classes ?
- Hints of new physics ?





- PeV Scale Right Handed Neutrino Dark Matter
- Super Heavy Dark Matter
- Neutrino Portal Dark Matter
- Right-handed neutrino mixing via Higgs portal
- Heavy right-handed neutrino dark matter
- Leptophilic Dark Matter
- PeV Scale Supersymmetric Neutrino Sector Dark Matter
- Dark matter with two- and many-body decays
- Shadow dark matter
- Boosted Dark Matter
- ...

Next generation - IceCube Gen2 Facility

IceCube Gen2 arXiv:1412.5106

- IceCube has provided an amazing sample of events, but is still limited by the small number of events
- Observed astrophysical flux is consistent with a isotropic flux of equal amounts of all neutrino flavors
 - So far none of the analyses has shown any evidence for point sources
- Where are the point sources?
- What is the flavor composition?
- What is the spectrum? Cutoff?
- Transients ?
- Multi-messenger physics?
- GZK neutrinos?
-



PINGU - Precision IceCube Next Generation Upgrade



© [2011] The Pygos Group

IceCube PINGU Collaboration arXiv:1401.2046

• PINGU upgrade plan

- Instrument a volume of about 5MT with 20-26 strings
- Rely on well established drilling technology and photo sensors
- Create platform for calibration program and test technologies for future detectors


• Physics Goals:

- Precision measurements of neutrino oscillations (mass hierarchy,...)
- Test low mass dark matter models

PINGU LOI to be updated shortly



Short version <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.02671.pdf>

New PINGU Geometry



Compared to 40 string geometry


1. One less drilling/deployment season
2. Fewer holes drilled (saves fuel)
3. Fewer holes and one less season means we can refurbish drill rather than build a new one.



3 years of DeepCore data



- 5174 events observed 6830 expected for no oscillation
- perform 2D fit in E and $\cos(\theta)$

Neutrino Physics with PINGU






Measurement of mixing parameters with different method/energy range – Excellent sensitivity to octant of θ_{23}

Determination of the neutrino mass ordering



Precision measurement of ν_{τ} appearance – probe unitarity of PMNS matrix

Ice Camera System




- Ice properties dominant source of sys. uncertainties for most analyses
- Low cost camera system
 - Monitor freeze in
 - Hole ice studies
 - Local ice environment
 - Position of the sensor in the hole
 - Geometry calibration
 - Survey capability

"Camera System to Study Properties of the Antarctic Ice"
 D. Bose, M. Jeong, W. Kang, J. Kim, M. Kim, C. Rott.
 ICRC Proceeding 2015 arXiv:1510.05228 [astro-ph.IM]

Conclusions

- Striking DM signatures might provide high discovery potential for indirect searches
- Models motivated by positron excess and gamma-ray observations can and have been tested with neutrino telescopes
- Lifetimes of heavy decaying dark matter can be constrained to 10^{28} s using neutrino signals
- Neutrino Telescopes provide world best limits on SD WIMP-Proton scattering cross section
- Neutrinos extremely sensitive to test low-mass WIMP scenarios at current and future detectors
- Efforts underway to expand searches beyond WIMP hypothesis ...



Thanks !