

Carsten Rott

Sungkyunkwan University, Korea

rott@skku.edu

Dec 13, 2016



Prospects for Beyond Standard Model Physics Discoveries at Next-generation Neutrino Detectors



SCIPP - Semimar

Outline

Motivation

Neutrino Telescopes

Selected Searches

- Self-annihilating Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo
- Astrophysical Neutrinos and Dark Matter Decay
- Dark Matter Captured in the Sun

Conclusions and Outlook

Coma Cluster

Motivation




The Dark Matter Mystery

- Since Zwicky observed the Coma cluster evidence has hardened
 - Structure formations
 - Cosmological simulations
 - Gravitational lensing
 - Rotation curves
 - Cosmic microwave background
 - ...

- Dark Matter already gravitationally “observed”, but ...
 - What is it ?
 - What are its properties ?



WIMP - Weakly Interacting Massive Particle



- **Production**


- Colliders

- **Indirect Searches**

- Dark Matter Decay
- Annihilation of Dark Matter in Galactic Halo, ...
 - Gamma-rays, electrons, neutrinos, anti-matter, ...
 - Annihilation signals from WIMPs captured in the Sun (or Earth)
 - Neutrinos

- **Direct Searches**

- WIMP scattering of nucleons
→ Nuclear recoils






Dark Matter Lifetime

Dark Matter Self-annihilation cross section

DM - Nucleon Scattering cross section


Dark Matter Signals

- Identify overdense regions of dark matter
 ⇒ self-annihilation can occur at significant rates
- Pick prominent Dark Matter target
- Understand / predict backgrounds
- Exploit features in the signal to better distinguish against backgrounds




Sources of High Energy Neutrinos


Atmospheric Neutrinos





Cosmic rays interact in the upper atmosphere:



IceCube Collaboration Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 151105 /1212.4760v2





Astrophysical





Gamma-ray Bursts


Active Galactic Nuclei



Principle of an optical Neutrino Telescope









Neutrino Telescopes

Large Water Cherenkov Neutrino Detectors



Hyper-K

Super-K



Lake Baikal

GVD

ANTARES

KM3Net

Active

Retired

Prototype

Construction


Planned

IceCube

Gen2/PINGU



Laboratory at the South Pole



Geographic South Pole

Amundsen Scott
South Pole
Station

Road to work
Skiway

1 km


IceCube

The IceCube Neutrino Telescope


- Gigaton Neutrino Detector at the Geographic South Pole
- 5160 Digital optical modules distributed over 86 strings
- Completed in December 2010, start of data taking with full detector May 2011
- Data acquired during the construction phase has been analyzed
- Neutrinos are identified through Cherenkov light emission from secondary particles produced in the neutrino interaction with the ice

Dark Matter Searches

- **Galactic Center is 29° above the horizon**
- **Sun is at $\pm 23^\circ$**



Signals in IceCube



Atmospheric muons $\sim 10^{11}/\text{year}$
 Atmospheric neutrinos $\sim 10^5/\text{year}$
 Astrophysical neutrinos $\sim 100/\text{year}$

- Atmospheric neutrinos are an irreducible neutrino background to extra terrestrial neutrino fluxes

Event Topologies in IceCube


Track topology

(e.g. induced by muon neutrino)

CC: ν_μ

Good pointing,
 $0.2^\circ - 1^\circ$

Lower bound on energy for
through-going events




CC: $\nu_e \nu_\tau$

NC: $\nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\tau$

Cascade topology
(e.g. induced by electron
neutrino)

Good energy resolution, 15%
Some pointing,
 $10^\circ - 15^\circ$



$\nu_e \nu_\tau$ CC-int & ν_i NC-int

Dark Matter Self-annihilations

$$\langle \sigma_{AV} \rangle$$

Dark Matter in the Milky Way



Dark Matter Annihilation


Measure Flux

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE}(E, \phi, \theta)$$

=

Particle Physics

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle}{2m_\chi^2} \sum_f \frac{dN}{dE} B_f$$






×

Dark Matter Distribution




$$\int_{\Delta\Omega(\phi, \theta)} d\Omega' \int_{\text{los}} \rho^2(r(l, \phi')) dl(r, \phi')$$

line of sight (los) integral



Use IceCube external strings as a veto:


- 3 complete layers around DeepCore ($\sim 375\text{m}$)
- **Full sky sensitivity**: access to southern hemisphere



Separate Low energy and High energy optimizations:
GC is above the horizon

- Fiducial volume in central strings
 - refined muon veto from surrounding layers
- Use scrambled data for background estimation

Neutrinos test lepton anomalies



*DM interpretation by Meade et al.,
Nucl. Phys. B, 831 (2010) 178.






Figure 4. The current AMS positron flux measurement compared with theoretical models.




Neutrino Telescopes can probe models motivated by the observed lepton anomalies



Dark Matter Decay / Astro-physical Neutrinos

Search for highest energy neutrinos

IceCube Coll. Phys.Rev.Lett. 111 (2013) 021103 / arXiv 1304.5356



Dataset / Results

(670days of IC79/IC86 data)

expected 0.08 events

observed 2 events ($\rightarrow 2.7\sigma$)

- Ernie ~ 1.15 PeV ($\sim 1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ J)
- Bert ~ 1.05 PeV ($\sim 1.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ J)
- Energy is the visible energy of the cascade, could originate from NC event, ν_τ CC, or ν_e CC
- Angular resolution on cascade events at this energy $\sim 10^\circ$
- Energy resolution is about 15% on the deposited energy

Ernie & Bert are not GZK, but ...

Heavy Dark Matter

- Intriguing overlap in energy of the two 1 PeV cascade events of IceCube high energy event sample

Could this be dark matter ?

Evidence:

example: B. Feldstein, A. Kusenko, S. Matsumoto, and T. Yanagida arXiv:1303.7320v1 / Phys.Rev. D88 (2013) 1, 015004

- 2.4PeV Dark Matter Particle mass
- Flux can be related to the lifetime τ_{DM}

$$\tau_{\text{DM}} \simeq 1.9 N_\nu \times 10^{28} \text{ s}$$

• Models

- Singlet fermion in an extra dimension
- Hidden Sector Gauge Boson
- Gravitino Dark Matter with R-Parity Violation






FIG. 4. The two observed events from (a) August 2011 and (b) January 2012. Each sphere represents a DOM. Colors represent the arrival times of the photons where red indicates early and blue late times. The size of the spheres is a measure for the recorded number of photo-electrons.




Veto and Self-veto

IceCube Collaboration Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) no.2, 022001 (arxiv:1410.1749)




Down-going high-energy neutrinos
can be nearly background free
identified as astro-physical neutrinos





High-energy neutrino search 4yrs

54 events (15 track-like, 39 showers) observed
Expectation from conventional atm.
muons and neutrinos ~ 21.6



ICRC 2015 proceedings

IceCube Collaboration, *Science* 342, 1242856 (2013),

IceCube Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett* 113, 101101 (2014)


- Mesons including charm quarks in the atmosphere decay immediately to produce neutrinos, known as prompt neutrinos which are not observed yet.
- ERS, or Enberg et al. *Phys. Rev. D* 78, 043005 (2008) is used as a baseline prompt model
- Significance are based on the exact neutrino flux model, not including the uncertainty of the model.
- Atmospheric Bkg : CR Muon (12.6 ± 5.1), Conv. Neutrino ($9.0^{+8.0}_{-2.2}$),
- Over $60 \text{ TeV} < E < 2000 \text{ TeV}$, the spectrum best fit with $E^{-2.58}$
- E^{-2} spectrum predicts too many neutrinos above $\sim 2 \text{ PeV}$. So, a cutoff or steeper spectrum needed.

~ 7 sigma rejection of atmospheric-only hypothesis

Skymap HESSE-4yrs




IceCube Collaboration, *Science* 342, 1242856 (2013)

ICECUBE PRELIMINARY



x track event
+ shower event


0 TS = $2\log(L/L_0)$ 10 9



no significant correlations -- spacial or temporal
p-value for cascade events “clustering” 44%

Origin of the high-energy neutrinos ?


Extra Galactic Gamma Ray Burst




Active Galactic Nuclei / Starburst Galaxies

Starburst	M82	148.97	69.68	0.07	0.15
Radio	NGC 1275	49.95	41.51	0.0	—
Galaxies	Cyg A	299.87	40.73	0.9	0.03
	3C 123.0	69.27	29.67	0.0	—
	M87	187.71	12.39	0.0	—
	Cen A	201.37	-43.02	0.03	0.49

Galactic Galactic Center



Galactic Plane




Heavy Dark Matter Decay

- Heavy Decaying Dark Matter (example $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$)
- Focus on most detectable feature (neutrino line)
- Backgrounds steeply falling with energy, highest energy events provide best sensitivity
- Continuum and spacial distribution could help identify a signal
- Bounds from Fermi-LAT and PAMELA derived from search for $b\bar{b}$ annihilation channel (dominant decay channel of Higgs).

**Dedicated IceCube analysis
should improve on these bounds
Analyses on-going**


Bound on lifetime $\sim 10^{28}$ s
derived with IceCube data



Heavy DM bounds with neutrinos, see also
Murase and Beacom JCAP 1210 (2012) 043
Esmaili, Ibarra, and Perez JCAP 1211 (2012) 034
El Aisati, Gustafsson, Hambye [1506.02657](#)

Dark Matter Capture in the Sun

Solar WIMPs



Silk, Olive and Srednicki '85
Gaisser, Steigman & Tilav '86


Freese '86
Krauss, Srednicki & Wilczek '86
Gaisser, Steigman & Tilav '86

3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis


- Three years of data in 86-string configuration used (**May 2011 - May 2014**)
 - Only **up-going** events (Sun below the horizon) results in **532 days of livetime**
- Two independent analysis performed
 - ① **IceCube**: Higher energy focus ($m_\chi > 100\text{GeV}$)
 - ② **DeepCore**: Low-energy focus ($m_\chi = 30\text{GeV} - 100\text{GeV}$)

- Up-going
- IceCube Dominated
- No Containment


- Up-going
- DeepCore Dominated
- Strong Containment




Median angular resolutions



Effective Areas



3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis




Signal pdf:

$$S_i(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_{\text{sun}}(t_i)|, E_i, m_\chi, c_{\text{ann}}) = \mathcal{K}(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_{\text{sun}}(t_i)|, \kappa_i) \times \mathcal{E}_{m_\chi, c_{\text{ann}}}(E_i)$$


Monovariate Fisher Bingham distribution from directional statistics

Spectral part

- Use track events for better pointing
- Search for an excess of events from the direction of the Sun
- Observed events consistent with background only expectations






Observed events



Background pdf: $\mathcal{B}_i(tx_i, E_i) = B(\delta_i) \times P(E_i | \phi_{\text{atm}})$



Likelihood: $\mathcal{L}(n_s) = \prod_N \left(\frac{n_s}{N} S_i + \left(1 - \frac{n_s}{N}\right) \mathcal{B}_i \right)$

Solar WIMPs Summary



Spin-dependent scattering

Spin-independent scattering



Neutrino 2016 Danninger

Impact of astrophysical uncertainties

M. Danninger & C. Rott "Solar WIMPs Unraveled" –
Physics of the Dark Universe (Nov 2014)

Interactive tool to study impact of
astrophysical parameters

☒ direct-detection

☒ signal-regions


☒ IceCube
time (y):

☒ PINGU
time (y):

☐ SuperK
time (y):

☐ Baksan
time (y):

☐ ANTARES
time (y):



local Sun velocity (km s^{-1}):

local DM density (ρ_0):

Dark-disk fraction (ρ_{dd}/ρ_0):

Halo models:


SMH | Ling et al. | Aquarius et al. | Mao et al.

Reset

https://mdanning.web.cern.ch/mdanning/public/Interactive_figures/


Spin-dependent scattering

IceCube Collaboration 2016




Spin-dependent scattering

IceCube Collaboration 2016



- Likelihood includes:

- energy and directional information



nulike.hepforge.org

nulike is hosted by Hepforge, IPPP Durham



- Home
- Download
- Source Code
- Report issue
- Mailing list
- Contact

nulike

neutrino telescope likelihood tools

Nulike is software for including full event-level information in likelihood calculations for neutrino telescope searches for dark matter annihilation.

A new background to Solar WIMPs



- CR interaction in the Solar atmosphere result produce gamma-rays and neutrinos
- Background to dark matter search from the Sun, that soon will be relevant (and first high-energy neutrino point source ??)

see K. Ng, J. Beacom, A. Peter, C. Rott PRD 2016

Low Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

**C. Rott, J. Siegal-Gaskins, J.F.Beacom Physical
Review D 88, 055005 (2013) (arXiv1208.0827)
C.Rott, S.In, J.Kumar, D.Yaylali JCAP11 (2015)039**

Low-Energy Neutrinos from the Sun

Possible annihilation channels:

qq,gg,cc,ss,bb,tt,W⁺W⁻, ZZ, τ⁺τ⁻, μ⁺μ⁻, νν, e⁺e⁻, γγ few neutrinos

some “high energy” neutrinos in decays
⇒ basis of present day searches


dominant decay into hadrons

Charged pions and kaons decay at rest producing mono-energetic neutrinos

$$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \quad E_\nu = 29.8 \text{ MeV}$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu \mu^+ \quad E_\nu = 235.5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$$




π^0

- Lifetime too short to interact

π^-

- Interaction length short compared to losses
- Produces secondary particles in collision with protons
- Dominant energy loss term is π^0 production

Neutrino signals - Example W-Boson




Let's have a closer look at this:

$e^+ \nu_e$ | high energy ν + em shower

$\mu^+ \nu_\mu$ | high energy ν + muon

$\tau^+ \nu_\tau$ | high energy ν + tau decay


qq | hadronic shower




Pion and Kaon yields

π^+ r-value - fraction of center-of-mass energy which goes into π^+

C.Rott, S.In, J.Kumar, D.Yaylali JCAP11(2015)039



K^+ r-value - fraction of center-of-mass energy which goes into K^+








For low dark matter masses difference between flux from stopped pion and kaon decay at rest can be used to disentangle annihilation final states

Sensitivity



DUNE

Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment


<http://www.dunescience.org/>



Tokai-to-Hyper-K & Korea (T2HKK)



Matter profile along the Tokai-to-Korea baseline



Physics Potentials with the Second Hyper-Kamiokande Detector in Korea

(Hyper-Kamiokande Proto-Collaboration)


K. Abe,^{57,59} Ke. Abe,²⁴ H. Aihara,^{59,60} A. Aimi,¹⁸ R. Akutsu,⁵⁸ C. Andreopoulos,^{28,43} I. Anghel,²¹ L.H.V. Anthony,²⁸ M. Antonova,²⁰ Y. Ashida,²⁵ M. Barbi,⁴⁴ G.J. Barker,⁶⁶ G. Barr,⁴⁰ P. Beltrame,¹¹ V. Berardi,¹⁶ M. Bergevin,³ S. Berkman,² T. Berry,⁴⁵ S. Bhadra,⁷³ F.d.M. Blaszczyk,¹ A. Blondel,¹² S. Bolognesi,⁶ S.B. Boyd,⁶⁶ A. Bravar,¹²

arXiv:1611.06118

Candidate Sites in Korea



Site	OAB	Baseline	Height	Rock
Mt. Bisul	$\sim 1.3^\circ$	1088 km	1084 m	Granite porphyry, Andesitic breccia
Mt. Hwangmae	$\sim 1.8^\circ$	1140 km	1113 m	Flake granite, Porphyritic gneiss
Mt. Sambong	$\sim 1.9^\circ$	1180 km	1186 m	Porphyritic granite, Biotite gneiss
Mt. Bohyun	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1040 km	1126 m	Granite, Volcanic rocks, Volcanic breccia
Mt. Minjuui	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1140 km	1242 m	Granite, Biotite gneiss
Mt. Unjang	$\sim 2.2^\circ$	1190 km	1125 m	Rhyolite, Granite porphyry, Quartz porphyry

arXiv:1611.06118



- Baselines length 1,000 ~ 1,200 km
- Off axis angle $1.3^\circ \sim 3^\circ$
- Considering tunnel entrance positions **overburdens** are expected to be greater than 820 m (**2,200 m.w.e.**)

Tokai-to-Hyper-K & Korea (T2HKK)



- Improved CP Precision, Mass hierarchy, ...
- Better control of systematics
- Potential site benefits (larger over burden)
- Non-standard neutrino interactions





FIG. 19: The fraction of δ_{cp} values (averaging over the true mass ordering) for which the wrong hierarchy can be rejected with a given significance or greater.


Future Plans for IceCube ...

Beyond Standard Model Physics at the PeV scale



Intense interest in high-energy neutrino region

- Observations defy any simple explanation from a single generic source class
- Multiple sources classes ?
- Hints of new physics ?





- PeV Scale Right Handed Neutrino Dark Matter
- Super Heavy Dark Matter
- Neutrino Portal Dark Matter
- Right-handed neutrino mixing via Higgs portal
- Heavy right-handed neutrino dark matter
- Leptophilic Dark Matter
- PeV Scale Supersymmetric Neutrino Sector Dark Matter
- Dark matter with two- and many-body decays
- Shadow dark matter
- Boosted Dark Matter
- ...

Next generation - IceCube Gen2 Facility

IceCube Gen2 arXiv:1412.5106

- IceCube has provided an amazing sample of events, but is still limited by the small number of events
- Observed astrophysical flux is consistent with a isotropic flux of equal amounts of all neutrino flavors
 - So far none of the analyses has shown any evidence for point sources
- Where are the point sources?
- What is the flavor composition?
- What is the spectrum? Cutoff?
- Transients ?
- Multi-messenger physics?
- GZK neutrinos?
-



PINGU - Precision IceCube Next Generation Upgrade



© [2011] The Pygos Group

- PINGU upgrade plan

- Instrument a volume of about 5MT with 20-26 strings
- Rely on well established drilling technology and photo sensors
- Create platform for calibration program and test technologies for future detectors


- Physics Goals:

- Precision measurements of neutrino oscillations (mass hierarchy,...)
- Test low mass dark matter models

PINGU LOI to be updated shortly

Short version <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.02671.pdf>




New PINGU Geometry



Compared to 40 string geometry

1. One less drilling/deployment season
2. Fewer holes drilled (saves fuel)
3. Fewer holes and one less season means we can refurbish drill rather than build a new one.

Ice Camera System




- Ice properties dominant source of sys. uncertainties for most analyses
- Low cost camera system
 - Monitor freeze in
 - Hole ice studies
 - Local ice environment
 - Position of the sensor in the hole
 - Geometry calibration
 - Survey capability

"Camera System to Study Properties of the Antarctic Ice"
 D. Bose, M. Jeong, W. Kang, J. Kim, M. Kim, C. Rott.
 ICRC Proceeding 2015 arXiv:1510.05228 [astro-ph.IM]

Conclusions


- Striking DM signatures might provide high discovery potential for indirect searches
- Models motivated by positron excess and gamma-ray observations can and have been tested with neutrino telescopes
- Lifetimes of heavy decaying dark matter can be constrained to 10^{28} s using neutrino signals
- Neutrino Telescopes provide world best limits on SD WIMP-Proton scattering cross section
- Neutrinos extremely sensitive to test low-mass WIMP scenarios at current and future detectors
- Efforts underway to expand searches beyond WIMP hypothesis ...



Thanks !

Independent confirmation ?

ANTARES Neutrino 2016



- Expected events:

- Background: 13.5 ± 3
- Astrophysical ~ 3


- Results:

- Consistent with background
- Consistent with IceCube

Observed
19 events

IceCube up-going muon analysis

IceCube Data May 2010 - May 2012



IceCube Collaboration Phys.Rev.Lett. 115 (2015) 8, 081102

Highest energy events are inconsistent with a hypothesis of solely terrestrial origin at 3.7σ


Best fit astrophysical flux consistent with High-Energy Starting Events

Normalization for E^{-2} : $0.99^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \cdot 10^{-8} E^{-2} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$


Through-going muon tracks

Up-going Track-like neutrino event $E_{\text{dep}} = 2.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ PeV}$

- Direction: 11.48° dec / 110.34° RA
 - Angular resolution $< 1^\circ$
- Deposited energy: $2.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ PeV}$
 - Lower bound on the neutrino energy
 - Neutrino energy significantly higher



unfolded data assuming unbroken best-fit power law



5.6 sigma detection of
astrophysical neutrinos
with through-going
muons analysis

The event above was detected in IceCube on
June 11, 2014. Image: IceCube Collaboration.

2015

[[Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [ADS](#)]

Detection of a multi-PeV neutrino-induced muon event from the Northern sky with IceCube

ATel #7856; **Sebastian Schoenen and Leif Raedel (III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University) on behalf of the IceCube Collaboration**
on 29 Jul 2015; 20:47 UT
Credential Certification: Marcos Santander (santander@nevis.columbia.edu)

Subjects: Neutrinos, Request for Observations

Referred to by ATel #: [7868](#)

[Tweet](#)


We observed a muon event with an energy of multiple PeV originating from a neutrino interaction in the vicinity of the IceCube detector. IceCube is a cubic-kilometer neutrino detector installed in the ice at the geographic South Pole mostly sensitive to neutrinos in the TeV-PeV energy range. The event is the highest-energy event in a search for a diffuse flux of astrophysical muon neutrinos using IceCube data recorded between May 2009 and May 2015. It was detected on June 11th 2014 (56819.20444852863 MJD) and deposited a total energy of 2.6 ± 0.3 PeV within the instrumented volume of IceCube, which is also a lower bound on the muon and neutrino energy. The reconstructed direction of the event (J2000.0) is R.A.: 110.34 deg and Decl.: 11.48 deg. For simulated events with the same topology, 99% of them are reconstructed better than 1 deg and 50% better than 0.27 deg. The probability of this event being of atmospheric origin is less than 0.01%. The IceCube contact persons for this event are Leif Raedel (RWTH Aachen University, raedel@physik.rwth-aachen.de) and Sebastian Schoenen (RWTH Aachen University, schoenen@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

ATel #7856

Related
7868 HAWC TeV gamma-ray follow-up observation of the sky region of IceCube's multi-PeV neutrino-induced event
7856 Detection of a multi-PeV neutrino-induced muon event from the Northern sky with IceCube

2016

more recent ICECUBE-160427A



Follow-ups to ICECUBE-160427A



- ▶ GCN 19364 – Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor - No detection
- ▶ GCN 19360 – Fermi LAT – 5 unrelated blazars
- ▶ GCN 19361 – HAWC – no detection
- ▶ GCN 19362 – MASTER – no detection
- ▶ GCN 19377 – VERITAS – no detection
- ▶ GCN 19392 – iPalomar Transient Factory – 3 transients, all AGN
- ▶ GCN 19427 – FACT Cherenkov TeV Telescope – no detection
- ▶ GCN 19426 - Interplanetary Network – no detection
- ▶ GCN 19381 - Pan-STARRS - 7 SN candidates, one consistent with type Ic supernova.

See also <https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/amon.html>

<http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn/gcn3/20247.gcn3>

Search for high-energy neutrinos from the Sun

- Absorption in the Sun relevant for neutrinos above 100 GeV and signals severely attenuated above 1 TeV
- All Solar WIMP searches optimised on neutrino fluxes well below 1 TeV
- Why search for high-energy neutrinos from the Sun ?
 - Test secluded dark matter scenarios
 - Solar disk neutrinos
 - Anything unexpected ?



Annihilation of DM in the Sun x Branching ratio

ANTARES Coll. JCAP 1605 (2016) no.05, 016

AMS-02 5years result




Figure 4. The current AMS positron flux measurement compared with theoretical models.




Figure 2. The electron flux and the positron flux are different in their magnitude and energy dependence.