### Neutrino Astrophysics with IceCube

#### Jim Braun for the IceCube Collaboration INFO Workshop, Santa Fe, 2009

## The IceCube Collaboration

#### USA:

**Bartol Research Institute, Delaware** University of California, Berkeley University of California, Irvine Pennsylvania State University **Clark-Atlanta University Ohio State University** Georgia Tech University of Maryland University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa University of Wisconsin-Madison University of Wisconsin-River Falls Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. University of Kansas Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge University of Alaska, Anchorage

Sweden: Uppsala Universitet Stockholm Universitet

UK: Oxford University

Netherlands: Utrecht University

Switzerland:

Germany: DESY-Zeuthen Universität Mainz Universität Dortmund Universität Wuppertal Humboldt Universität MPI Heidelberg RWTH Aachen

Belgium: Université Libre de Bruxelles Vrije Universiteit Brussel Universiteit Gent Université de Mons-Hainaut

Japan: Chiba University

33 institutions, ~250 members http://icecube.wisc.edu New Zealand: University of Canterbury IceCube: Design and Construction Status

Neutrino Astrophysics with IceCube:

- Astrophysical Neutrino Searches
- Supernovae
- Atmospheric Neutrinos
- IceCube DeepCore Extension
- Indirect Dark Matter Searches

### **Optical Cherenkov Detection**

μ

μ

Hadronic Shower

W

Cherenkov light mapped by optical sensors

### IceCube











M. Krasberg





### **Drilling and Deployment**



## IceCube Detector Status



### **Digital Optical Module (DOM)**



Clock stability:  $10^{-10} \approx 0.1$  nsec / sec Synchronized to GPS every  $\approx 10$  sec

Optical noise rate ~300 Hz in ice

HV

Flasher Board with 12 LEDs

DOM Main Board

Power consumption: 3 W Digitize at 300 MHz for 400 ns Dynamic range 200pe/15 nsec Excalibur FPGA/ARM CPU Digital data transmission over copper

NIM A 601, 294 (2009).

10 inch Hamamatsu R7081 PMT

**Pressure Sphere** 



### **Time Calibration**

Synchronize DOM clock with GPS master clock



### **Event Topologies**



Run 110261 Event 32883 Tue Jan 29 09:39:35 2008

0

### **Neutrino Astrophysics with IceCube**

					?
Energy range	~MeV	GeV-TeV	TeV-PeV	PeV-EeV	>EeV
Physics	Supernovae	Dark Matter, Oscillations, Atmospheric v,	Point sources, GRB, Diffuse	GZK Neutrinos, Cosmic Rays	?
Signature	Average increase in the PMT counting rate	Tracks, Contained Events	Tracks, Cascades	Tracks, Cascades, Double Bang, Lollipops	Christmas Tree

Many new results at ICRC 2009

### **Cosmic Ray Accelerators**



GRB 080319b

### **Astronomical Messengers**



### **Milagro Sources**





 $<sup>\</sup>cos \theta$ 

### **IceCube Muon Events**



Strings	μ rate	v rate
AMANDA	80 Hz	4.8 / day
IC22	550 Hz	28 / day
IC40	1200 Hz	110 / day*
IC80	1650 Hz*	220 / day*

### **Muon Angular Resolution**



### **The Moon Shadow**



 $5.34\sigma$  deficit found  $6.1\sigma$  expected

Confirms muon pointing resolution in IC-40

sig	nifigance	of moon	deviation	1										_					6
	2	51 -0.4	2 -1.11	-0.74	-1	-1.03	1.33	-0.19	-1.16	2.52	0.83	-1.53	1.68	1.08	-1.98	1.23	0.37		4
[_]("	1 -0.:	58 1.1	6 -0.63	1.31	-0.39	0.42	-0.72	-0.98	-1.25	-1.89	-0.22	1.07	-0.3	0.42	0.04	0.81	-0.06		2
/ent <sup>-</sup> ômoo	0	8 -0.8	4 0.25	1.63	-0.38	-1.51	-1.23	-0.82	-5.34	-1.64	-0.49	-0.81	0.15	-0.49	2.8	-1.26	-0.48		0
-(S <sub>e</sub> ,	-1 =0.	12 -2.1	3 0.41	0.49	-0.73	0.29	0.66	-2.22	-1.72	-2.21	0.01	0.43	-0.83	0.36	-0.68	-0.33	0.08	_	-2
	-2	37 0.4	7 1.08	-0.38	-0.22	-1.09	0.71	-0.53	-0.55	-1.27	-0.04	-0.79	0.04	0.19	1.11	-0.07	-0.23		-4
	-3 -1	0			-5			lα -α	0	:(\S)[	<u>ୁ</u>		5				10		-6
							,	~event ~	moon/000	"\"moon/L	1								



### **Astrophysical Neutrino Searches**

 $\mathcal{S}_i(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_s, E_i, t_i) = P(E_i) \cdot P(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_s|) \cdot P(t_i)$ Signal PDF: 0.12 0.10(WnE) 0.1 0.1 0.1 Space Angle Energy Time Atm Nu E-3 Energy E-2 F<sup>-1.5</sup> Diffuse Search 0.06 Extraterrestrial neutrinos 0.04 should be more energetic 0.02 than atmospheric neutrinos Cumulative event fraction EPreliminar 0.9 **Space Angle** 0.8 0.7 **Point Source** 0.6 Extraterrestrial neutrinos 0.5 Search 0.4 = 1-10 PeV (pre from a point source cluster IceCube E = 10-100 TeV (prel) 0 strings E = 1-10 PeV 10 strings E = 10-100 TeV around the source location 22 strings E 0.5 1.5 3.5  $\Delta_{\rm w}$  [degrees] BATSE Trigger 8121 1.90×10 Time Ch: (1: 4) Time Res: 0.512 s 1.80×10<sup>4</sup> >20 keV Time-Dependent 1 70×10<sup>4</sup> Neutrinos from GRBs and **Point Source** 1.60×10<sup>4</sup> flaring AGN should be ੂ 1.50×10<sup>4</sup> Search clustered in time 1.40×10<sup>4</sup> 1.30×10<sup>4</sup>

1.20×10<sup>4</sup>E

-20

0 20 40 Seconds Since Trigger (000526 : 36093.689) Each term provides additional power to reject background

### **Neutrino Point Source Search**



#### Space Angle Term:

Assume P( $|x_i - x_s|$ ) is a 2-D Gaussian Space angle uncertainty  $\sigma_i$  can be measured for each event during reconstruction

#### Energy Term:

Assume emission follows a power law energy spectrum



### **Neutrino Point Source Search**

Background: Events are uniform in RA

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \frac{1}{\Omega} \cdot P_{bkgd}(E_i)$$

Assume a fraction of events are signal, remainder are background

Partial probability for  
each event: 
$$P(\vec{x}_s, n_s, \gamma, \vec{x}_i, E_i, \sigma_i) = \frac{n_s}{N} S_i + (1 - \frac{n_s}{N}) B_i$$

**Likelihood function:** 
$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s, \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(\vec{x}_s, n_s, \gamma, \vec{x}_i, E_i, \sigma_i)$$

Numerically minimize -Log L with respect to n<sub>s</sub> and  $\gamma$ , obtaining best fit values  $\hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}$ 

Log likelihood: 
$$\lambda = -2 \cdot log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma})} \right]$$

Astropart. Physics 29, 299 (2008)

### AMANDA



95 of 100 data sets randomized in RA have a significance  $\geq$  3.38 $\sigma$ 

AMANDA Analyses: Milagro stacking, AGN stacking, multipole search  $\rightarrow$  Negative results

Phys. Rev. D **79**, 062001 (2009) arXiv:0906.3942

### **IceCube 22 String**



A search based on a list of sources yields no significant excess

Accounting for all trials, p-value for analysis is 1.34% (2.2 sigma).

At this significance level, consistent with fluctuation of background.





#### Number of hit modules: 148 Estimated angular error: 0.84°

### IceCube 22 String

PeV – EeV neutrino-induced muons are more energetic than the vast majority of cosmic ray muons

Selecting such high energy muons provides sensitivity in the downgoing direction

No excesses observed in binned search (p=0.37)





### Search of the Galactic Plane with IceCube-22 + AMANDA



Optimized for low energy; no significant excess observed



IC40 6-month Results to be presented at ICRC

### **40 String Detector and Beyond**



### **Time-Dependent Point Sources**

#### **Multiwavelength Flare Search**

IC22: No neutrino correlations to photon high states of several objects (e.g. 1ES 1959, Cygnus X-1)

#### Microquasars



IC22: No periodic emission for 7 microquasars in the Northern Sky

#### **Unbiased Flare Search**

To be presented at ICRC

### GRB 080319b



Pi of the Sky



March 19, 06:12 UT (duration  $\sim$ 70s) Brightest (optical) GRB (mag.  $\sim$ 5.3) z = 0.94 (DA = 1.6 Gpc)

Data consistent with 0.0 events within window



### **Northern Hemisphere GRBs**



### **Northern Hemisphere GRBs**



# Full IceCube detector would detect this flux at $5\sigma$ in less than one year (100 Swift + Fermi bursts)

### Supernovae



 $5\sigma$  detection to ~50 kpc in full IceCube

Measurement of neutrino light curves: ~10<sup>6</sup> neutrinos at 7.5 kpc

Presented at ICRC 2009

~MeV SN neutrinos produce an increase in photon rates

#### No pointing resolution



### **Diffuse Neutrino Fluxes**



Preliminary IC40 diffuse sensitivity >5x better than AMANDA

New AMANDA UHE diffuse limit near W&B Bound (ICRC 2009)

### **Atmospheric Neutrinos**





No evidence of QD or VLI effects ( $\delta c/c < 2.8 \times 10^{-27} 90\%$  CL)

New measurement of the atmospheric neutrino flux with AMANDA

Phys. Rev. D 79, 102005 (2009)

### IceCube DeepCore



6 strings with dense DOM spacing and high QE PMTs

Located in deep, clear ice

Will improve IceCube physics reach at 10 – 100 GeV



### **Neutrino Physics with Deep Core**



### **WIMP Capture and Annihilation**



WIMPs accumulate at center of massive objects and annihilate

Capture rate dependent on WIMP-nucleon cross section

Annihilation rate should approach equilibrium with capture rate

Annihilation produces a neutrino flux at Earth

Neutrino spectra dependent on annihilation mode

$$\Gamma_{\text{A}} \sim \tfrac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\text{C}}$$

$$\chi \chi \rightarrow \begin{array}{cc} WW \\ b\overline{b} \rightarrow \nu, e^{-}, ... \\ etc. \end{array}$$

### **WIMP Capture**



Always fully visible (vertical upgoing events)

Small mass





Sun below horizon half of the year

Large mass

GC always above the horizon, with large CR background

Extreme mass

#### AMANDA 2000-2006









### Summary

IceCube is now ~70% complete with 19 new strings installed this past season

We observe no evidence of an astrophysical neutrino flux

IceCube sensitivity is rapidly improving and 40-string analyses are underway

New WIMP-proton spin-dependent cross section limits complement those from direct detection experiments

The DeepCore extension will improve physics reach at low energies