# Time-Dependent Maximum Likelihood Analysis

#### Jim Braun Spring 2009 IceCube Collaboration Meeting

## Outline

- Review of UW time-dependent likelihood searches
  - Triggered searches with an assumed time dependence
  - Untriggered searches for an unknown time dependence
- Problem encountered with the untriggered search, along with solution (~30% gain in discovery potential)
- 5σ discovery potential comparison of triggered and untriggered searches

# **Triggered Search**

• Believe the signal has some assumed time dependence (e.g. TeV  $\gamma$  flares, GRB, etc.)

$$\mathcal{S}_{i}(\vec{x}_{s},\vec{x}_{i},\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}e^{\frac{|\vec{x}_{i}-\vec{x}_{s}|^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}}P(E_{i}|\gamma)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t}}e^{\frac{|t_{i}-t_{o}|^{2}}{2\sigma_{t}^{2}}}$$
Space Angle Energy Time

• Dependence could be Gaussian, rectangular, etc.

$$\mathcal{L}_i(\vec{x}_s, \vec{x}_i, \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{n_s}{N} \mathcal{S}_i + (1 - \frac{n_s}{N}) \mathcal{B}_i \right) \qquad \mathcal{B}_i = \frac{P(E_i | \Phi_{atm})}{\Omega T_L}$$

Maximize likelihood with respect to signal strength and spectral index

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot sign(\hat{n}_s) \cdot log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma})} \right]$$

# **Untriggered Search**

- Assume the signal has some unknown time dependence
  - Assume clusters of signal-like events are flares
  - Reduce background and thus the number of events needed to reach  $5\sigma$
  - Tightly clustered signals can be discovered which would be missed in the time integrated search
- Assume time dependence is a single flare with a Gaussian distribution in time
- Maximize likelihood with respect to time and width along with signal strength and spectral index

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t, \hat{t}_o)} \right]$$

# **Problem with Untriggered Search**

- Two symptoms suggest the method can be improved
  - The background-only distribution of the test statistic is not  $\chi^2$  distributed
  - High significance background fluctuations are overwhelmingly pairs rather than triplets, etc.
- We know there is a trial factor we do not account for
  - We select one time out of the data livetime as our flare time, but any other time is equally likely

#### **Problem with Untriggered Search**

- Our signal hypothesis is subtly incorrect:
  - Current: "What is the likelihood of observing a flare at the best fit time"  $\begin{bmatrix} c(\vec{z} y_0) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t, \hat{t}_o)} \right]$$

- Correct: "What is the likelihood of observing a flare at ANY time"
- Suggests we should marginalize likelihood with respect to time:

$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t) = \int_t P(Data | \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t, t) P(t) dt$$
$$\lambda = -2 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t)} \right]$$

- P(t) time prior is uniform:  $P(t) = 1/T_L$ 

ļ

## **Problem with Untriggered Search**

- The integral over time is numerically inconvenient
- Can approximate the integral by assuming all contributions are from the vicinity of the likelihood maximum, which itself is approximately Gaussian:

$$\int_{t} P(Data|\hat{n}_{s},\hat{\gamma},\hat{\sigma}_{t},t)P(t)dt \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t_{o}}}{T_{L}}P(Data|\hat{n}_{s},\hat{\gamma},\hat{\sigma}_{t},\hat{t}_{o})$$

- $\sigma_{t_o}$  is the uncertainty on the best fit mean time
- New test statistic improves discovery potential ~30% and is  $\chi^2$  distributed

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{T_L}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{t_o}} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\sigma}_t, \hat{t}_o)} \right]$$

#### Time-Dependent Analysis for km<sup>3</sup>

- Simulate a ~km<sup>3</sup>-scale detector skymap with 67,000 events (~1 year, 0.7° angular resolution)
- Compare the mean Poisson number of events needed for 50% chance of  $5\sigma$  discovery
  - Both triggered and untriggered methods
  - With and without energy information
  - Compare to binned analyses as a reference
- Compare over a large range of flare widths

#### **Time-Dependent Analysis for km<sup>3</sup>**



# **The All-Sky Trials Factor**

- All sky search:
  - Find maximum test statistic value over the sky

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot log \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}, \hat{\alpha})} \right]$$

- Measure post-trials significance by comparing to many skymaps randomized in RA
- Apply the untriggered search solution to all-sky analysis
  - Marginalize with respect to RA and declination

$$\lambda = -2 \cdot \log \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2\pi\sigma_r^2} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, n_s = 0)}{\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}_s, \hat{n}_s, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}, \hat{\alpha})} \right]$$

- Would give  $\chi^2$  distribution for post-trials significance
- No need for thousands of randomized trials
- Currently working to verify this