

Thanks to Francesco Cafagna Bari INFN

Direct detection of CRs



A typical CR experiment for PID

Particle id: charge, mass, energy/momentum,

Spectrometer: gyroradius of a particle is proportional to the rigidity and inversely proportional to the magnetic field Since B is known, Z and p can be measured $r_L = \left(\frac{pc}{Ze}\right) \frac{1}{Bc} \qquad R = \frac{pc}{Ze}$

The momentum resolution is limited by the position measurement and by the multiple scattering in the magnet A **ToF system** (e.g. A,C 2 scintillator counters or proportional counters or spark chambers) provide dE/dx, time, position and trigger. Hence the time of flight of a particle over a known distance gives the velocity Hence the particle is fully identified (Z and m)

Occasionally a destructive detector (**calorimeter**) can be used to have an independent energy measurement



Searches for anti-matter and primary CR composition

- PAMELA
- AMS
- BESS
- Caprice
- Tiger, Atic, HEAT, Jacee, Runjob,...

Anti-matter in CRs



Absolute fluxes



- No clear evidence
- But errors are large
- Ratios are better known

 Flattening at low energy anti-matter from BH evaporation
 Decay of anti-matter at higher energies



Ratios



The Antimatter hunter toolkit

Spectrometer:

- We need to discriminate the particle charge
- GOOD Maximum Detectable Rigidity (MDR): we need to push the measured rigidity range up to high energies
- Magnet (permanent, superconducting at cryogenic T with currents) + Tracker (Gas detectors, solid state detectors)

Particle discrimination:

- We need to tag very few events out of a large background: positrons out of protons, antiprotons out of electrons
- Typically we have to catch one antiparticle out of 10^{5÷6} particles

MDR of a Spectrometer

- What is the MDR ?
 - The rigidity for which the error $\Delta p/p=100\%$
- Why an MDR of 800 GV could mean a maximum momentum of 190 GeV/c ?
 - Spillover ! At high energy particle charge is confused due to the finite spectrometer precision
 - Fake antiprotons due to protons "spilling" into the antiprotons spectra

Spectrometer

• We measure deflection: $\eta = 1/R \propto 1/p$

If p is high, small deflection, diffucult charge determination⇒spillover

- For this quantity error is gaussian
- Spillover is calculated convolving spectra with spectrometer resolution
 If gaussian error added, finite resolution changes 'ideal' spectra
 Exercise 9

 $R = \frac{pc}{Ze}$

 $r_L = \left(\frac{pc}{Ze}\right) \frac{1}{Bc}$

Particle discrimination

- Depends on the energy range we are interested in:
 - Low energy: TOF, Cherenkov, Pre Showers
 - High energy: RICH, TRD, electromagnetic calorimeters

PAMELA

- The observational objectives of the PAMELA instrument are to:
 - measure the spectra of antiprotons, positrons and nuclei in a wide range of energies,
 - to search for primordial antimatter,
 - to study the cosmic ray fluxes over half a solar cycle.
- PAMELA will be able to measure magnetic rigidities (momentum/charge) up to its Maximum Detectable Rigidity (MDR) of 700 GV/c).





- Particle ID using:
 - TOF
 - Em. Calorimeter
 - Neutron detector ³He n capture to help em/h shower id

Rigidity determination using spectrometer permanent magnet and silicon tracker

PAMELA: the integration





Particle	Number (3 yrs)	Energy Range
Protons	3.10 ⁸	80 MeV - 700 GeV
Antiprotons	>3.10 ⁴	80 MeV - 190 GeV
Electrons	6.10 ⁶	50 MeV - 2 TeV
Positrons	>3.10 ⁵	50 MeV - 270 GeV
Не	4.10 ⁷	80 MeV/n - 300 GeV/n
Be	4.10 ⁴	80 MeV/n - 300 GeV/n
С	4.10 ⁵	80 MeV/n - 300 GeV/n
Antihelium Limit (90% C.L.)	7.10 ⁻⁸	80 MeV/n - 30 GeV/n

Resurs DK satellite



PAMELA in the satellite



Magnetic Spectrometer

Permanent magnet

- 5 blocks of Nd-B-Fe
- 0.48 T cavity
- Magnetic tower = 13.2 x 16.2 cm² 44.5 cm

 $20.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sr}$



Magnet Construction



Silicon Planes

- Double-sided silicon microstrips (300 μm thick):
 - 25 μm implantation pitch (junction side) / 67 μm (ohmic side)
 - Strips mutually orthogonal on opposite sides
 - Readout pitch 50 μm





Si-W Imaging Calorimeter





- 22 planes :
- Altenating layers of Si (380 µm)
 / W (2.6 mm)
- 96 strips (2.4 mm wide) per Si layer
- X & Y views
- 16 X₀ (0.6 λ_N) deep
- Total number of channels: 4224
- Wide dynamic range ≅
- 1 ÷ 1000 MIPs (released charge due to a MIP particle)

Calorimeter Energy Resolution



Self trigger mode:

- ~300 GeV → 2TeV 'electrons'
- Enter one of 1st
 4 planes & cross
 ≥ 10 X₀
- acceptance:
 600 cm²sr
- ♣Eff(E_e > 300 GeV) > 99% (sim.)

e-p Separation with the Calorimeter

- SPS Test Beam Data:
 - <mark>p e</mark>⁻ @200 GeV/c
- Proton rejection factor ≅ 3 10⁴
- ♣ Electron selection efficiency ≅ 95%



The TOF System

- TOF: Particle ID / albedo (from below) rejection
 - 3 planes of Scintillators S1, S2, S3
 - Each plane: 2 crossed layers, segmented into X- and Y- strips
 - Hamamatsu PMT R5900 (selected for Q.E. and to match scintillator strips)
- **TRIGGER:** (S1 x S2 x S3)
- dE/dx measurements (charge)





ToF Performance





Anticounter Purpose



 AC are used offline or in L2 trigger to reduce false triggers

Shower Scintillator & Neutron Counter

- VHE e[±], E ~ 10¹¹ eV ÷ 10¹³ eV using a Neutron Detector (ND) (more *n* in hadronic than EM showers): n + ³He → p + ³H + 765 keV
- 2 x 18 ³He proportional counters (polyethylene / Cd envelope)





- ND triggered by a plastic scintillator: 482 mm x 482 mm x 10 mm
- 6 PMT read-out
- Dynamic range: 1÷ 1000 MIP



AMS: General









- Large geometrical acceptance:
 0.45 m² sr
- Long exposure: 3 years
- Redundant measurements
- High vacuum
- High radiation levels
 - Strong gradients of temperature -60°C ÷ +40°C
- Weight < 7 Tons
 - Power consumption < 3kW



Superconducting Magnet







Chan Hoon Chung, RWTH-Aachen, Germany



Diameter = 1.2 m, Height = 0.8 m, Weight = 2.3 t $B_{dipol} = 0.860 [T], E_{stored} = 1.15 MJ, I = 459.5[A]$ Cold Heat Exchanger : Superfluid Helium (-271.35°, 2500 l) Long Duration Operation without refill

AMS: Tracker

- 2264 Double sided Silicon wafers
- 640 readout strips on implantation or p-side (bending coordinate)
- 384 readout strips on junction or n-side (non-bending coordinate)
- Combined into 192 Ladders of 7-15 sensors
- 1024 readout channels for each ladder, total of ~ 200k channels
- Ladders arranged on 8 layers piled up in 5 planes
- ~ 6.4m² total





120 GeV/c muon beam



ToF & ACC









Fast Trigger
prim $\sigma_t \le 130$ [ps]Layers = 4 (2 + 2)Area = 8 m²ToF : 34 PaddlesACC : 16 Cylindrical Shell Paddles

AMS: Em. Calo.

- 9 Superlayers (Pb + Fiber Sandwich)
- 16.4 X_o and ~ 0.5 $\lambda_{nucl.}$
- Standalone Gamma Trigger
- 65x65 cm², m= 640 kg, 324 PMTs





AMS: TRD

- 2.2x 2.2x0.8 m³, 350 kg, 20 layers of straw tubes. Radiator: 2 cm polyethylene/ polypropylene 10 mm fibre fleece. Gas mixture is Xe:CO₂ 80:20% (1 bar)
- Conical octagon structure made of aluminum honeycomb with carbonfibre skins and bulkheads
- Mechanical precision: 100 mm to assure gas gain homogeneity below 2%
- 4 lower and upper oriented parallel to the magnetic field, middle 12 perpendicular to it, for 3D tracking











AMS: Rich



Charge measurement

Luis Arruda, LIP Lisbon

TOF. Tracker: Sampling of particle energy deposition $\Delta E \propto Z^2$

<u>RICH</u>: The number of Cerenkov radiated photons when a charged particle crosses a radiator path ΔL , depends on its charge Z

$$N \propto Z^2 \Delta L \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2}\right)$$

Their detection on the PMT matrix close to the expected pattern depends on:





The BESS experiment

Balloon-borne Experiment with Superconducting Spectrometer

Joint project of Japanese and USA Institutions to search for antimatter in the cosmic radiation http://bess.kek.jp/ http://universe.gsfc.nasa.gov/astroparticles/programs/bess/ Last flight: 8 days from McMurdo (Antartica) in Dec 2004



BESS detector:

- Top and bottom Tof scintillators that also measure the particle energy loss
- Aerogel Cherenkov counter mounted under the top ToF
- 2 inner drift chambers (IDC) inside the magnetic field space
- Central tracking device in magnetic field region made of JET type drift chambers



Finally CAPRICE 98 !







 $dE/dx \propto Z^2$

vs p



β vs 1/p -> mass





 β and p -> mass





Find a paper published by one of the described experiments or by another balloon experiment performing primary CR composition/anti-matter measurements and describe a measurement they perform and the detectors used for this purpose.